

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
EUGENE DIVISION

JAMES ROBERT WARREN,)
)
Plaintiff,)
) Civil No. 10-842-TC
)
v.)
) ORDER
DON MILLS, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on July 14, 2011, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,
656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920
(1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed July 14, 2011, in its entirety. Defendants' motion to dismiss (#18) is allowed, and this proceeding is dismissed. The clerk of court will enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 26th day of August, 2011.

Michael E. Hogan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE